

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/837,610 951/49710 04/19/2001 Andreas Schuhbaeck 8053 07/01/2003 CROWELL & MORING LLP EXAMINER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP

P.O. BOX 14300 WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300

NGUYEN, KHIEM M ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2839

DATE MAILED: 07/01/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		T .		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	54/83/610	1 OCH	UNDAECK Group Art Unit 2839	
Office Action Califfically	K NGUY	FA/	7879	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet b	eneath the co	rrespondence address—	
riod for Reply SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE	-30	day		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE F THIS COMMUNICATION.	ET TO EXPIRE	WORTH (S) FROM THE MAILING DA	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by c Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	rs, a reply within the statutory mi default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fi by statute, cause the application	nimum of thirty (3 om the mailing d to become ABAN	0) days will be considered time ate of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
tatu				
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on		· · · · · ·		
☐ This action is FINAL.				
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exaccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle,	ccept for formal matters, pro 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 21	secution as t 3.	o the merits is closed in	
isposition of Claims				
Claim(s) 1 — 25				
Of the above claim(s)		is/are v	vithdrawn from consideration	
☐ Claim(s)————				
□ Claim(s)		is/are r	is/are rejected.	
□ Claim(s)				
□ Claim(s)				
		are sub require	ject to restriction or election ment	
□ Claim(s) 1 - 25 pplication Papers	is _ approved	are sub require	ject to restriction or election ment	
□ Claim(s)	is _ approved	are sub require	ject to restriction or election ment	
□ Claim(s)	is □ approved objected to by the Examine	are sub require	ject to restriction or election ment	
□ Claim(s)	is □ approved objected to by the Examine	are sub require	ject to restriction or election ment	
☐ Claim(s)	is □ approved objected to by the Examine ner.	are sub require disapprove	ject to restriction or election ment	
Claim(s)	is □ approved objected to by the Examine ner.	are sub require disapprove	ject to restriction or election ment	
□ Claim(s)	is approved objected to by the Examine ner. Drity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (neen received.	are sub require ☐ disapprove a)–(d).	oject to restriction or election ment ed.	
Claim(s)	is approved objected to by the Examine er. Drity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (seen received.)	are sub require ☐ disapprove a)–(d).	oject to restriction or election ment ed.	
Claim(s)	is approved objected to by the Examine ter. Drity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (Seen received.) Deen received in Application terms have been received.	are sub require disapprove a)–(d).	oject to restriction or election ment ed.	
□ Claim(s)	is approved objected to by the Examine ter. Derity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (Seen received). Deen received in Application tenents have been received ational Bureau (PCT Rule 17).	are sub require disapprove a)–(d). No	oject to restriction or election ment ed.	
Claim(s)	is approved objected to by the Examine ter. Derity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (Seen received). Deen received in Application tenents have been received ational Bureau (PCT Rule 17).	are sub require disapprove a)–(d). No	oject to restriction or election ment ed.	
□ Claim(s)	is approved objected to by the Examine ter. Derity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (Seen received). Deen received in Application tenents have been received ational Bureau (PCT Rule 17).	are sub require disapprove a)–(d). No	oject to restriction or election ment ed.	
□ Claim(s)	is approved objected to by the Examine her. Drity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (seen received. here received in Application aments have been received ational Bureau (PCT Rule 17)	are sub require disapprove a)–(d). No	oject to restriction or election ment ed.	
□ Claim(s)	is approved objected to by the Examine ter. Description and the examine of the e	are sub require disapprove a)–(d). No 2(a))	oject to restriction or election ment ed.	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No.

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-12, drawn to an arrangement of several ferrules for optical waveguides, classified in class 385.
 - II. Claims 13-14, 20-22 drawn to a process for producing a belt having plastic ferrules, classified in class 29.
 - III. Claims 15-19, 23-25 drawn to a method of coupling ferrules, classified in class 29.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

 Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are

 distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be

 used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be

 made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case belt of

 plastic ferrules can be made by molding process different from the process claimed. For instant,

 two or more ferrules can be injection-mold simultaneously to form a section of belt.
- 3. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP)

Art Unit:

§ 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process of coupling ferrules to an optical waveguide or to each other to form a belt can be practiced on other product besides ferrules. For instant, the process can be practiced on fiber connector modules.

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. A telephone call was made to on to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit:

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Khiem Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-1738.

KHIEM NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

K.N.

June 29, 2003